

Name	HOW GOOD ARE MUTUAL FUNDS
Authors	L. C. Gupta, Utpal K Choudhury
Year	2001

CONTENTS

1. **OBJECTIVE OF STUDY AND METHODOLOGY**
 Objectives of study
 Method used
 The sample
 Chapter scheme

2. **COMPETITION IN THE INDIAN
MUTUAL FUND INDUSTRY**
 Introduction
 Actual working of competition
 The findings
 Pervasive and vibrant competition
 Number of schemes held
 Concluding comments

3. **INVESTORS' PREFERENCES AMONG
MUTUAL FUND ORGANISATIONS AND SCHEMES**
 Introduction
 Objectives
 Investors' preferences
 Limitations of performance measures
 Subjective judgements
 Methods used
 The findings
 1st method: ranking by investors
 Top ten MF organisations
 The UTI's big lead
 2nd method: allocation of sum
 Developments during 1997-2000

4. **A FINER VIEW OF INVESTORS' PREFERENCES
AMONG INVESTMENTS**
 Introduction
 Need for finer understanding
 Differences in investors' perceptions

- Explanation of our method
- The findings
 - Safety angle
 - Return angle
 - Equity schemes of MFs
 - Income schemes of MFs
 - US-64
 - Over-all suitability angle
- Concluding comments

5. **A PORTFOLIO GAME: INVESTMENT CHOICES TELL A TALE**

- Object of the game
- Details of the game
- “Popularity ranking” of choices
- Effect of portfolio size restriction
- Important features of portfolio choices
- The choices of shares
- Tailpiece

6. **AN OVERVIEW OF THE MUTUAL FUND INDUSTRY’S DEVELOPMENT**

- Role in savings mobilization
- Rapid growth in early 1990s
- Market penetration
- Slowdown
- The crisis of 1998 in US-64
- More recent situation
- MF industry’s share in financial savings
- The UTI’s position and MF industry structure
- Investors’ reaction to the US-64 crisis
- The come-back of US-64
- Emergence of competitive structure
- Some unhealthy tendencies
 - Implications of high repurchases
 - Malpractices
 - Unitholders take to trading/speculation
- Mutual funds should create value
 - How mutual funds can add value
 - The flexibility of mutual funds